

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/033,175	10/033,175 11/01/2001		Peter H. Seeberger	MTV-018.02	7902
25181	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY H			KHARE, DEVESH		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/033,175	SEEBERGER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devesh Khare	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	N.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABAI	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	6 August 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1	This action is non-final.						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,23 and 42-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-44</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,23 and 45 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	•						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International Ru		eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo the attached actualed embe determent a list of the continue copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/033,175

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant's remarks filed on 08/26/2004 are acknowledged. Claims 2-22 and 24-41 have been cancelled.

The examiner withdraws Buchwald et al. (U.S. Patent 6,693,178) ('178) reference in the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of the Office Action dated 6/30/2004, in response to applicant's remarks that the '178 patent is not available as prior art under 103(a) because the '178 patent does not qualify as prior art under 102(e).

Claims 1, 23 and 42-45 are pending in this application.

Minor objections

Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities:

(1) The following abbreviations, should be written out with the words representing each letter in the abbreviation for each of:

-OTIPS; and -OPMB.

(2) A period is missing at the end of claim 23.

Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **1, 23 and 45** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sabesan (U.S. Patent 5,095,123) of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,175

Art Unit: 1623

The applicants' claims are directed toward optionally substituted glycosyl phosphate compounds of formula 1 and 2 (claims 1 and 45) wherein "O" is linked at C-1. Claims 1 and 45 differ in selecting R=aryl. Dependent limitations include the compounds of claim 23 represented by the structures of glucose, galactose and lactose.

Sabesan teaches the glycosyl phosphate trimesters and salts of specific sugars using various reagents (col.1, lines 45-50). In col.3, lines 42-65, the glycosyl phosphate triesters of formula I and II phosphorylated at C-1 are disclosed.

The disclosure in col. 5, Reaction scheme 1, the compounds obtained from the phosphorylation of the hexopyranose wherein phosphate group is substituted with phenyl group, is within the scope of the instant claims 1 and 23. Furthermore, Sabesan discloses the derivatives of D-glucose and D-galactose (col.4, lines 59-60). See especially the compounds disclosed in col. 9, examples 2, 3 and 5. It would have been obvious to person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, produce glycosyl compounds phosphorylated at C-1 having selected values for variables in the carbohydrate moiety and in the phosphate ester moiety from among those taught by Sabesan. The motivation for doing so is provided by Sabesan which suggests that such compounds were useful as glycosyl donors for the synthesis of structurally diverse nucleotide sugar derivatives used for biological investigation of

various enzymes (col.1, lines 10-30). Applicant has not demonstrated any criticality or unexpected result, which stems from selection of particular values for the variable.

Page 4

Rejection Maintained

Rejection of claims 1,23 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments traversing the rejection of claims 1,23 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

Applicants argue, "the compounds described in reaction scheme 1 and examples 2,3, and 5 of U.S. Patent 5,095,123 are diphenyl phosphates, which compounds do not fall within the scope of pending claims 1 and 23". It is noted that in claims 1 and 23 the substituents R and R' can be aryl or heteroaryl. Sabesan discloses in col. 5, Reaction scheme 1, the compounds obtained from the phosphorylation of the hexopyranose wherein phosphate group is substituted with phenyl group, is within the scope of the instant claims 1 and 23. Furthermore, Sabesan discloses the derivatives of D-glucose and D-galactose (col.4, lines 59-60).

The rejection of claims 42-44 stated in the office action dated 03/06/2003 under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-19 of U.S. Patent 6,323,339, has been overcome through applicants' terminal disclaimer filed over U.S. Patent no. 6,323,339.

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571)272-0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at (571)272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,J.D. Art Unit 1623 November 15,2004 JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ZECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600